REACTIONS OF COPPER(II) β -DIKETONATES UNDER FREE RADICAL CONDITIONS. PREPARATION OF HIGHLY CONGESTED β -DIKETONES.

María E. Lloris, Nicanor Gálvez, Jorge Marquet, Marcial Moreno-Mañas"

Department of Chemistry. Universitat Autonoma de Barcelona. Bellaterra. 08193-Barcelona. Spain.

(Received in UK 18 June 1991)

Key words: Sterically congested β -diketones; copper complexes; free radicals; mechanisms; activation and protection of β -diketones.

 $\frac{\text{Abstract.}}{\text{conditions}}$ - Copper(II) β -diketonates react with alkyl bromides under free radical conditions to give highly congested β -diketones such as 3-(1-adamantyl)-3-alkylpentane-2,4-diones. Another typical free radical reacts also functionalizing the intercarbonyl positions.

INTRODUCTION

The use of β -diketones in the form of their nickel(II),² cobalt(III),³ zinc(II),³ and mainly cobalt(II) complexes has broadened the scope of their C-alkylations. Thus, Co(II) complexes of β -dicarbonyl compounds have been used in alkylations with easily dehydrohalogenable alkyl halides, ^{4a, b} non-active halides such as 1-bromoadamantane^{4c} and 2-bromoadamantane, ^{4b} and other special alkyl halides such as 9-bromofluorene. ^{4d} These procedures have been particularly useful in the regioselective alkylation of methyl 3,5dioxohexanoate, a β , diketoester considered as a polyketide model.⁵

Mechanistic studies on the Co(II) mediated alkylations showed that two different mechanisms operate. One of them (Scheme 1) is a chain mechanism containing oxidative addition to Co(I) and reductive elimination from Co(III) steps, initiated by an innersphere electron transfer induced by Co(II). This mechanism operates at high concentration (> 0.73M in Co) producing high reaction rates.⁶ The fact that Co is a d^9 transition metal, possesing radicaloid properties, makes the participation of electrontransfer mechanisms possible (2nd initiation step). Cu(II) Diketonates are more stable and more readily prepared than their Co(II) congeners. Therefore, we considered the possibility of using Cu(II) complexes of β -diketones. Copper, being a d¹¹ transition metal, could behave similarly to cobalt.

Cobalt (III) species are present in the mechanism of cobalt mediated alkylations.⁶ Copper(III) species are also known.⁷ Thus, the oxidative addition of benzoyl peroxide to copper(I) chloride to afford $\left[\text{Cu}^{\text{III}}\text{Cl}(\text{OCOPh})_2(\text{py})_2\right]_2$ is worth of mention.⁸

However, use of Cu(II) complexes in C-alkylation has been quite unsuccessful⁹ and, in fact, these complexes have been rather used to protect intercarbonyl positions.^{5,10} However, a few examples of successful alkylations of β -dicarbonyl compounds through their Cu(I1) complexes have been reported. One of them requires thiouronium salts as alkylating agents and the involved mechanisms are, therefore, probably ionic.¹¹ Also, $copper(II)$ bis(pentane-2,4-dionato), as well as $copper(II)$ complexes of methyl 3,5dioxohexanoate and related diketoesters react with benzhydryl bromide to give Calkylation products.⁵

SCHEME 1

RESULTS

Preparation of highly congested β -diketones

 $Copper(II)$ bis(3-methylpentane-2,4-dionato), 1, reacts with alkyl bromides in concentrated chloroform solutions $(0.6M)$ under the conditions specified in Table I, to give disubstituted pentaue-2,4-diones 2a-e (Scheme 2). Diketones 2 are, in general, formed in good yields. Compound 2eis remarkable in that it has two vicinalquatemary centers. Its conformational analysis has been described elsewhere.^{4b} Some side products have been identified. Thus, 3-bromo-3-methylpentane-2,4-dione, 5, has been isolated in run 3. Copper(I1) bromide, a reaction product in the alkylation procedure, has braninating properties on activated positions. Ruu 5 was performed with 8.6 mole of 1. A decrease in yield, down to 5%, was observed when the reaction was scaled up to 34.4 mole of 1.

Similar reactions were performed with copper(I1) bis(2-methyl-l-phenylbutane-1,3 dionato), 3, and the results are gathered in Table II. Again, good C-alkylation yields were obtained for several alkyl bromides to afford compounds 4a-d. Diketone 4e, bearing an 1-adamantyl radical at the activated intercarbonyl position, could be isolated only in trace amount. The free starting diketone, 2-methyl-1-phenylbutane-1,3-dione, 10, was, instead, isolated.

Diketone 4c has slow rotation around sigma bonds as evidenced by the presence of two set of singlets in the PMR spectrum at δ 2.44 and 2.17 and at 2.07 and 1.67. Upon standing, the oily 4c solidifies and the PMR of the freshly dissolved solid sample presents signals only at δ 2.07 and 1.67.

Bromination of the starting complex ocurred again as shown by the isolation of 6 in runs 1, 2 and 4. The isolation of the demethylated diketones 9c-d was surprising. They come from demethylation of 4c-d during the isolation procedure which includes column chromatography through silica gel. This was shown by careful exsmination (PMR monitoring) of the reaction crudes and by an independent experiment in which a mixture of 4c, silica gel (Chromagel 60 A CC from "SDS" company) and hexane-dichloromethane (1:l) was left one week at room temperature to afford, after filtration and evaporation, a mixture of 4c (67%) and 9c (33%). The steric congestion present in these molecules is probably the reason of this unexpected reactivity.

Further examples of the usefulness of copper(I1) complexes of diketones in alkylations leading to sterically congested compounds are exemplified in scheme 3. Thus, copper(II) bis(3-ethylpentane-2,4-dionato), 11, $(0.8M$ in chloroform) reacts with 1bromoadamantane at 100°C to give 3-(1-adamantyl)-3-ethylpentane-2,4-dione, 12, in 31% yield. No other products, apart from the starting bromide, were identified. Also, the $copper(II)$ complex of $2,2,6,6$ -tetramethyl-3,5-heptanedione, 13, $(0.8M$ in chloroform) reacts with 1-bromoadamantane to give $4-(1-a\tanh(1)-2,2,6,6-tetramethylheptane-3,5-text{1}-2,2,6,6-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5-tetramethylheptane-3,5$ dione, 14, in 31% yield. This yield is similar to that obtained in a similar reaction with the cobalt(II) complex in refluxing chlorobenzene.^{4c} Other isolated products were 1-adamantanol and the free starting diketone.

Reactions of copper(I1) diketonates with benzoyl peroxide

The propagation steps proposed for cobalt(II) mediated alkylations⁶ require species of type 15 ($M = Co$, Cu) (Scheme 1), formed in the last initiation step by radical collapse between the β -diketonate and a carbon free radical. We decided to use oxygenbased radicals speculating with the possibility of isolating 15 (R = oxygen-based radical). It is known that benzoyl peroxide, 17 , adds to metallic copper (0) to give copper (II) benzoate¹² and to copper(I) chloride in the presence of pyridine⁸ to give a dinuclear complex of Cu(III) formulated as $\left[\text{Cu}^{III}C1(0C0Ph)_2(Py)_2\right]_2$. Reactions of Cu^ICl with oxygen radicals to give Cu(II) species have also been reported.¹³

Other precedents of coordination to the copper atom of diketonates, pertinent to our discussion can be found in the reactions of copper β -diketonates with ethyl diazoacetate¹⁴ and with benzaldehyde bis(ethylthio)acetal.¹⁵

First, we studied the behaviour of $Co(\text{acc})_2$ towards benzoyl peroxide. Isolation of 15 (R = OCOPh) was not possible since reductive elimination was too fast. Similar reactions with copper complex 16 gave better results in terms of final products yields. Therefore, the discussion will deal with the interaction of 16 with 17 (Scheme 4).

The reaction between 16 and 17 was already reported, in conexion with an alternative preparation of caprolactam, to give 3,3-bis(benzoyloxy)pentane-2,4-dione, 19

Run R		$\mathbf{u}^{\mathbf{a}}$	Time	2(3)	Other products (7)
$\mathbf 1$	$PhCH_2-$	2.15M	50 min	2a(80)	
$\mathbf{2}$	$CH2=CH-CH2-$	0.86M	2h 15min	2b(88)	
$\mathbf{3}$	$Ph2CH-$	$0.86M$ 2h		2c(44)	5(18), 7(17)
4	9-Fluorenyl	$0.86M$ 5h		2d(65)	
-5.	1-Adamantyl	$0.86M$ 48h		2e(25)	8(20)

TABLE I.- Preparation of products 2 from complex 1 and alkyl bromides.

^aIn terms of solvent volume. No correction has been made for R-Br volume.

TABLE II.- Preparation of products 4 from complex 3 and alkyl bromides.

Run R		[3] a	Time	4(2)	Other products (2)
	$PhCH2$ -	$0.60M$ 5h		4a(43)	6(12)
$\mathbf{2}^-$	$CH2=CH-CH2-$	$0.60M$ 5h		4b(30)	6(10)
	$Ph2CH-$	$0.60M$ 2h			4c (76) 9c (11)
4	9-Fluorenyl		$0.60M$ 3h 30min	4d (30)	6 (12) , 9d (3)
	1-Adamantyl	$0.60M$ 48h		4e(1)	10(59)

^aIn terms of solvent volume. No correction has been made for R-Br volume.

Table III.- Reactions of copper complexes with 17 in reflmdng chloroform.

	Run Cu(II) complex (PhCOO)_2		18(3)	19(7)
	16 0.063M	0.126M	(35)	(13)
2	16 0.047M	0.188M	(18)	(45)
3	21 0.055M	0.110M	-----	(76)

 \bullet

a8 the only prcduct.16 We have **studied this** reaction inmore **detail** and our results are collected in Table III and Scheme 4. Since the presence of free radicals should be facilitated by the nature and the themal behaviour of 17, all experiments in Table III were performed at low concentration of reagents. As indicated in run 1, the product of monoreaction, 3-benzoyloxypentane-2,4-dione, 18, could be isolated in reasonable yields, although prcduct 19 was also formed. Products of double reaction at the activated central position are uncommon in the cobalt(II) and copper(II) mediated C-alkylation chemistry, although not without precedent.^{4a} The question raises of the origin of 19. Free β -dicarbonyl compounds¹⁷, their sodium salts,¹⁸ and their enamines¹⁹ react with perotides at the activated intercarbouyl positions. This could be the origin of 19. An alternative explanation is the in situ formation of complex 21 which would react with more 17. Complex 21 is prepared from diketone 18 and it reacts with 17 in an independent experiment to give 19 in 76% yield (run 3, Table III). Another compound routinely isolated in these reactions is beuzoic acid.

Diketone 18 reacts with exccess hydrazine to afford the fully enolic 4-hydroxy-3,5 dimethylpyrazole, 20.

Attempts were made to identify the fate of the copper atom. Thus, in one reaction between 16 and 17, the final reaction mixture was not partitioned between dichloromthane and aqueous HCl. Instead, the formed precipitate was filtered off and treated with pyridine to give a complex (mp $305-8^{\circ}C$) whose elemental analysis fits in with molecular formula $C_{24}H_{22}$ CuN₂O₅. This corresponds to structure 22, a copper(II) benzoate complex. A complex of structure 23, having the same melting point is the product from the reaction of 17 with metallic copper in pyridine.¹² Thus, although structures of type 15, possessing Cu^{III} atoms have not been isolated, the formation of 22 indicates that free radicals attack to the metal atom in one step of the mechanism.

Since both free β -dicarbonyl compounds¹⁷ and complex 16 react with peroxides, we studied the reaction of 17 with complex 24 which bears both a free activated position and a copper cmplexed diketone moiety. Complex 24 has been used for regioselective alkylation at position $C2$ under ionic conditions.⁵,¹⁰ This means that copper complexation is a good protection technique for the intercarbonyl position in typical ionic reactions. However, 24 also reacts with benzhydrgl bromide at C4 in a reaction assumed to take place by our proposed chain mechanism.⁵

The reaction between complex 24 and peroxide 17 (Scheme 5) produced 202 yield of a single compound: methyl 4,4-bis(benzoyloxy)-3,5-dioxohexanoate, 25. The proton coupled CBR spectrm permits unambiguous assignment of structure 25 (Table IV). Thus, both ketone carbon atams give signals at 198.28 (quartet) and 193.94 (triplet), indicating double substitution at C4. The signal of Cl appears as a triplet at 163.88, indicating that c2 is unsubstituted.

8036

CONCLUSION

Copper(II) complexes of β -dicarbonyl compounds react through radical initiated **reactions at the intercarbonyl position. However, copper(I1) complexes are quite inert** under standard ionic conditions and useful, in such cases, to protect the intercarbonyl **position.**

EXPERIMENTAL

PMR and CMR spectra were registered at 80 and 2OMz, respectively. Mass spectra were recorded at 7OeV. Bp's refer to oven temperatures. Mp's are uncorrected.

2-methyl-1-phenylbutane-1,3-dione

This diketone is prepared by reaction of 1-phenylbutane-1,3-dione with methyl iodide in refluxing a cetone and in the presence of potassium carbonate, according to a described **procedure.**

Copper bis(3methylpentane-2,4-diato), 1.

A solution of 3-methylpentane-2,4-dione (5.00 g, 44 mmole) in methanol (5 ml) is slowly
added upon a stirred, ice-cooled, solution of copper(II) acetate monohydrate (4.37 g, 22 **mnole) in water (70 ml) and methanol (7 ml). A precipitate is imnediately formed. The mkture is stirred for 3 h at room temperature and filtered. The precipitate is wa\$ed with water and dried at 80°C to afford 3.70 g (60%) of 1; mp 180°C; IR(KBr): 1576 cm⁻¹.** Copper(II) bis(2-methyl-l-phenylbutane-l,3-dionato), 3.

Complex 3 is prepared in 12% yield from 2-methyl-l-phenylbutane-1,3-dione by the same procedure as 1. **Compound 3has mp 192-4%; IR(KBr): 1580 cm-l.**

 $\frac{\text{Copper(II)} \text{big3-ethylpentane}-2,4-\text{dionato}}{3-\text{Ethylpentane}-2,4-\text{dione}}$ is prepared by reaction of pentane-2,4-dione with ethyl bromide in refluxing acetone and in the presence of potassium carbonate. The resulting mixture contains 3,3-diethylpentane-2,4-dione and is treated directly with copper (II) acetate concerns \sim , \sim users and is treated directly with copper(II) acetate
monohydrate as for preparation of 1. Complex 11 has mp 189-191°C (Lit.²¹ mp 190°C);
IR(KBr): 1571, 1525 cm⁻¹.
Copper(II) bis(2,2,6,6-tetramethy

3-Benzy1-3-methylpentane-2,4-dione, 2a.
A mixture of complex 1 (2.50 g, 8.6 mmole), benzyl bromide (2.94 g, 17.2 mmole) and ethanol-free chloroform (4 ml) is introduced in a 25 ml closed reactor and heated at 115ºC for 50 min. The solution changes from green to yellowish and a white precipitate Figures. After cooling, the mixture is diluted with dichloromethane (250 ml). The
organic layer is washed with 1N HCl (3 x 100 ml), dried with sodium sulphate and
evaporated. The residue is distilled to afford 2a (2.81 g, 129.5 , 136.0 , 206.0 .

The rest of compounds 2 and 4 are prepared by the same procedure as 2a under the conditions specified in Tables I and II. Runs 3, 4 and 5 of Table I and all runs of Table II require column chromatography on silica gel.

 $\frac{3-\text{Ally1}-3-\text{methy1pentane}-2.4-\text{dione}}{\text{This compound is known.}}$ PMR(CDC1₃): 6 1.4 (s, 3H), 2.1 (s, 6H), 2.6 (d, J = 8.0Hz, $2H$), 4.9-5.3 (m, 2H), 5.4-5.8 (m, 1H).

Bis(2,4-dinitrophenylhydrazone) of 2b: mp 228-230°C; IR(KBr):3328, 1618, 1590, 1511,
1336 cm⁻¹; PMR(CDC1₃): 6 1.53 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 6H), 2.85 (d, J = 8.0Hz, 2H), 5.0-5.4
(m, 2H), 5.5-6.0 (m, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 10Hz, 2H

Calculated for $C_{21}H_{22}N_RO_R$: C, 49.03; H, 4.31; N, 21.78. Found: C, 48.57; H, 4.17; N, 21.69.

21.69.

3-Benzhydry1-3-methylpentane-2,4-dione, 2c.

Mp 81-82°C; IR(KBr): 1695 cm⁻¹; PMR(CDC1₃): 6 1.6 (s, 3H), 2.0 (s, 6H), 5.8 (s, 1H), 7.2

(m, 10H); CMR(CDC1₃): 6 16.8, 26.8, 52.4, 72.8, 126.6, 128.3, 129.7, 141

127.0, 127.0, 141.7, 143.0, 200.2.

Calculated for C₁₉H₁₈O₂: C, 81.99; H, 6.52. Found: C, 81.56; H, 6.73.

3-(1-Adamantyl)-3-methylpentane-2,4-dione, 2e.

Mp 88-90²C; IR(KBr): 1714, 1689 cm⁻¹; PMR(CDC1₃): 6 1.

2.1 (s, 3H), 3.4 (center of an AB system, 2H), 6.9 (m, 2H), 7.2 (m, 3H), 7.5 (m, 3H), 7.8 (m, 3H), 7.9 (m 132.8, 136.1, 136.2, 198.5, 207.3.

132.0, 130.1, 130.2, 130.3, 201.3.

3-Benzoy1-3-methyl-5-hexen-2-one, 4b.

Bp 220-225°C/15mmHg (Lit.²⁴ bp 95-105°C/0.5-0.6mmHg); IR(film): 1713, 1675 cm⁻¹;

PMR(CDC1₃): 6 1.49 (s, 3H), 2.09 (s, 3H), 2.79 (d, J = 7.0

PMR of 4c.

Fra 51 T.:
Calculated for C₂₄H₂₂O₂: C, 84.18; H, 6.48. Found: C, 83.79; H, 6.56.
2-(9-Fluoremy1)-2-methy1-1-pheny1butane-1,3-dione, 4d.
Mp 136-138°C; IR(KBr): 1716, 1667 cm⁻¹; PMR(CDC1₃): 6 0.76 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s,

126.8, 126.9, 127.6, 127.7, 128.6, 132.5, 137.8, 142.0, 142.8, 200.2, 206.5.

Calculated for C₂₄H₂₀O₂: C, 84.68; H, 5.92. Found: C, 84.22; H, 5.90.
2-(1-Adamantyl)-Z-methyl-1-phenylbutane-1, 3-dione, 4e.
Mp 82-849C; IR(KBr): 1718, 1652 cm⁻¹; PMR(CDC1₃): 6 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.62-2.10 (m, 15H), 77(65), 43(100).

⁷/2007, 3-methylpentane-2,4-dione, 5.

Bp 80-85°C/14mmHg (Lit.²⁷ bp 63-64°C/11mmHg); PMR(CDC1₃): 5 1.94 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 6H).

2-Bromo-2-methyl-1-phenylbutane-1,3-dione, 6.

Bp 204-208°C/15mmHg; IR(film): 1724, 170 133.5, 191.7, 200.5.

<u>Di-(1-adamantyl) ether, 8.</u>
Mp 177-181ºC (Lit.²⁰ mp 179-182ºC); MS(m/e): 286(M⁺, 4), 135(100), 93(30), 79(42), $67(31)$, 55(23), 41(39).

2-Benzhydry1-1-phenglbutane-1,3-dione, 9c.
Mp 148-151°C (Lit.²⁷ mp 149-150°C). Compared with an authentical sample.

2-(9-Fluorenyl)-1-phenylbutane-1,3-dione, 9d.
Mp 1049C (Lit. ^{3d} mp 97-999C). Compared with an authentical sample.

Mp 1049C (Lit. 3d mp 97-999C). Compared with an authentical sample.
 $\frac{3-(1-\text{Adamty1})-3-\text{ethylpenstance}-2,4-\text{dione}}{11}$ is prepared by the same procedure as 2a from 11 (1.5 g, 4.87 mmole), and 1-

It is prepared by the same procedure

compared with authentical sample. Other isolated compounds were 1-adamantanol and the free starting diketone.

3-Benzoyloxypentane-2,4-dione, 18, 3,3-bis(benzoyloxy)pentane-2,4-dione, 19 and complex 22.

 \overline{A} solution of benzoyl peroxide (3.70 g, 15.2 mmole) in ethanol-free chloroform (40 ml) is added over a boiling suspension of copper(II) bis(pentane-2,4-dionato) (2.00 g, 7.6 mmole) in ethanol-free chloroform (80 ml). The solution was refluxed for 24 h. After cooling the solution was washed with $1N HCl$ (2 x 50 ml) and with saturated aqueous solution of sodium hydrogenocarbonate (2 x 50 ml). The organic layer was dried with sodium sulphate, filtered and evaporated. The residue was treated with carbon tetrachloride to afford a white precipitate (273 mg) of 19. The filtrate is evaporated and the residue is chromatographed through silica gel using a mixture of hexanedichloromethane (1:4) as eluent. Benzoic acid and diketones 19 (35% overall) and 18 (13%) are eluted in the indicated order.

(13%) are eitied in the indicated order.
Diketone 18: bp 162°C/0.2mmHg; IR(film): 1721 cm⁻¹; PMR(CDC1₃): δ keto form, 2.3 (s, 6H), 5.7 (s, 1H), 7.5 (m, 3H), 8.1 (m, 2H), enol form, 2.0 (s, 6H), 7.5 (m, 3H), 8.1 (m, $105(100)$, 77 (37) .

Calculated for C₁₂H₁₂O₄: C, 65.45; H, 5.49. Found: C, 65.52; H, 5.43.
Diketone 19: mp 143-143.5°C; IR(KBr): 1745, 1724 cm⁻¹; PMR(CDC1₃): 6 2.73 (s, 6H), 7.6
(m, 6H), 8.1 (m, 4H): CMR(CDC1₃): 6 26.6, 98.2, 127.

pyridine (2 ml) is added to that solution. A solid is formed upon evaporation, mp 305-
308°C; IR(KBr): 1605, 1599 cm⁻¹.

Calculated for $C_{24}H_{22}$ CuN₂O₅: C, 59.08; H, 4.60; N, 5.81. Found: C, 59.42; H, 4.51; N, 5.73.

Copper(II) bis(3-benzoyloxypentane-2,4-dionato), 21.

Complex 21 is prepared in 77% yield from 18 by the same procedure as 1. Compound 21 has
mp 200-210(d)²C; IR(KBr): 1734, 1586 cm⁻¹.

mp 200-210(d)²C; IN(KBT): 1/34, 1366 cm⁻².
Calculated for C₂₄H₂₂Cu₀: C, 57.43; H, 4.42. Found: C, 57.20; H, 4.35.
4-Hydroxy-3,5-dimethylpyrazole, 20.
80% aqueous hydrazine (0.05 ml) is added to a solution of 18 ethanol (2 ml). The solution is kept 1 h at room temperature. Upon cooling at 0°C a solid is formed. it is filtered off to afford 20 (96 mg, 66%): mp 174-176°C (Lit.²⁸ mp 173.5°C; 3400-2300(broad with a sharp peak at 32 $6H$).

Methyl 4,4-Bis(benzoyloxy)-3,5-dioxohexanoate, 25.

A solution of benzoyl peroxide $(5.13 \text{ g}, 21.1 \text{ mmol})$ in ethanol free chloroform (40 m1) is added into a suspension of copper(II) complex of methyl 3,5-dioxohexanoate $(2.00 \text{ g},$ 5.3 mmole), prepared according to a known procedure, in the same solvent (40 ml) . The mixture was refluxed under argon atmosphere for 77 h. The mixture is partitioned between chloroform and 1N HCl $(3 \times 100 \text{ m})$. The organic layer is washed with saturated solution of sodium hydrogenocarbonate, dried with anhydrous sodium sulphate and evaporated. The residue is chromatographed through a silica gel column with hexane-dichloromethane $\left(1\right)$ as eluent. Compound 25 (855 mg, 20%) had mp $110-112$ °C; IR(KBr): 1759, 1729 cm⁻¹; PMR(CDC13): &**2.72 (s, 3111, 3.80 (s, 3111,** 4.19 (s, 2H), **7.55** (m, **6H),** 8.11 (m, 4H); $CR(R(CC13):$ see Results Section for a discussion on this spectrum; MS(m/e): 172(5), 105(100), 77(27).

Calculated for $C_{21}H_{18}O_2$: C, 63.32; H, 4.55. Found: C, 62.43; H. 4.55.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Financial support from DGICYT (Ministry of Education and Science of Spain) through proJect PE 87-0030 is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

1 A preliminary letter has been published: Lloris, M.E.; Marquet, J.; Moreno-Mañas, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 3l, 7489.

.

2 a) Boya, M.; Moreno-Mañas, M.; Prior, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 1727. b) Boya, M.; Marquet, J.: Moreno-Mañas, M.: Prior, M. Anales de Quím. 1979, 75C, 920.

3 González, A.; Guell, F.; Marquet, J.; Moreno-Mañas, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3735.

4 a) Marquet, J.; Moreno-Mañas, M. Synthesis 1979, 348. b) Moreno-Mañas, M.; González, A.; Jaime, C.; Lloris, M.E.; Marquet, J.; Martinez, A.; Siani, A.C.; Vallribera, A.; Hernández-Fuentes, I.; Rey-Stolle, F.; Salom, C. Tetrahedron, in press. c) González, A.; Marquet, J.; Moreno-Mañas, M. Tetrahedron 1986, 42, 4253. d) Moreno-Mañas, M.; González, A.; Marquet, J.; Sánchez-Ferrando, F. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1988, 61, 1827.

5 Cervel16, J.; Marquet, J.; Moreno-Mañas, M. Tetrahedron, 1990, 46, 2035.

6 Marquet, J.; Moreno-Mahs, M.; Pacheco, P.; Vallribera, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1465.

7 Greenwood, **N.N. ; Earnhaw,** A. Chemistry of the Elements; Pergawm Press: Oxford, 1989.

8 Speir, G.; Fülöp, V. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 905.

9 a) Miller, J.A.; Scrimgeour, C.M.; Black, R.; Larkin, J.; Nonhebel, D.C.; Wood,

H.C.S. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin 1 1973, 603. b) Zaugg, H.E.; Dunnigan, D.A.; Michaels R.C.; Swett, R.L.; Wang, T.S.; Sommers, A.H.; De Net, R.W. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 644. 10 Marquet, J.; Moreno-Mañas, M.; Prat, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3105. 11 Magriotis, P.A.; Murray, W.V.; Johnson, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 23, 1993. 12 Speier, G.; FUlöp, V. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1989, 2331. 13 Morris, G.E.; Oakley, D.; Pippard, D.A.; Smith, D.J.H. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun 1987, 411. 14 Sato, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1968, 835. 15 Mukaiyama, T.; Narasaka, K.; Hokonok, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1969, 91, 4315. 16 Schmitz, E.; Striegler, H.; Heyne, H.-U.; Hilgetag, K.-P.; Dilcher, H.; Lorenz, R. J Prak. Chem. 1977, 319, 274. 17 Hoffman, R.V.; Wilson, A.L.; Kim, H.-O. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1267. b) Hoffman R.V.; Kim, H.-O.; Wilson, A.L. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 2820. 18 Lawesson, S.-O.; Frisell, C.; Denney, D.Z.; Denney, D.C. Tetrahedron 1963, 19, 1229. 19 Jacobsen, H.J.; Larsen, E.H.; Madsen, P.; Lawesson, S.-O. Ark. Kemi 1965, 24, 519. 20 Davis, B.R.; Rewcastle, G.W.; Woodgate, P.D. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin I 1979, 2815. 21 Graddon, D.P.; Schulz, R.A. Aust. J. Chem. 1965, 18, 1741. 22 Hammond, G.S.; Nonhebel, D.C.; Chin-Hua, S.W.U. Inorg. Chem. 1963, 2, 74. 23 Bramley, R.K.; Grigg, R.; Guilford, G.; Milner, P. Tetrahedron 1973, 29, 4159. 24 Grée, R.; Park, H.; Paquette, L.A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 4397. 25 Voitila, T. Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. 1938, A49, 110. 26 Kraatz, U. Chem. Ber. 1973, 106, 3095. 27 González, A.; Marquet, J.; Moreno-Mañas, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 1469. 28 Sachs, F.; Rohmer, A. Chem. Ber. 1902, 35, 3313.